

HEALTH AND WELLBEING BOARD COMMISSIONING SUB-COMMITTEE

9 NOVEMBER 2016

	Report for Resolution
Title:	Better Care Fund Underspend Paper November 2016
Lead officer(s):	Katy Ball Maria Principe
Author and contact details for further information:	Clare Gilbert clare.gilbert@nottinghamcity.gov.uk
Brief summary:	Proposals for the use of the Better Care Fund (BCF) Underspend
Is any of the report exempt from publication? <i>If yes, include reason</i>	No

Recommendation to the Health and Wellbeing Board Commissioning Sub-Committee:

The Health and Wellbeing Board Commissioning Sub-Committee is asked to:

- a) determine the mechanism for the utilisation of the Better Care Fund underspend; and
- b) agree the allocation of the Better Care Fund underspend in line with the agreed mechanism.

Contribution to Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy:

Health and Wellbeing Strategy aims and outcomes	Summary of contribution to the Strategy
Aim: To increase healthy life expectancy in Nottingham and make us one of the healthiest big cities	Through supporting citizens to leave hospital in an appropriate and timely manner, citizens are supported to have increased life expectancy.
Aim: To reduce inequalities in health by targeting the neighbourhoods with the lowest levels of healthy life expectancy	
Outcome 1: Children and adults in Nottingham adopt and maintain healthy lifestyles	
Outcome 2: Children and adults in Nottingham will have positive mental wellbeing and those with long-term mental health problems will have good physical health	
Outcome 3: There will be a healthy culture in Nottingham in which citizens are supported and empowered to live healthy lives and manage ill health well	
Outcome 4: Nottingham's environment will be sustainable – supporting and enabling its citizens to have good health and wellbeing	

How mental health and wellbeing is being championed in line with the Health and Wellbeing Board's aspiration to give equal value to mental and physical health

Not applicable

<p>Reason for the decision:</p>	<p>There is currently an underspend relating to the BCF due to delays in recruitment or project start up.</p> <p>Under the terms of the BCF it is not possible to carry forward an underspend so this will need to be fully allocated before year end.</p>
<p>Total value of the decision:</p>	<p>£234,452</p>
<p>Financial implications and comments:</p>	<p>The recommendations and subsequent decision arising from this report will determine the approach to utilise the remaining underspend currently forecast at £0.457m.</p> <p>The approach agreed should also consider and incorporate any further underspends that may arise across the various BCF initiatives.</p>
<p>Procurement implications and comments (including where relevant social value implications):</p>	<p>No procurement activity is required in relation to the identified projects.</p>
<p>Other implications and comments, including legal, risk management, crime and disorder:</p>	<p><u>Legal comment</u> This report raises no significant legal issues. There is a s.75 Agreement between the CCG and the City Council which governs the commissioning arrangements for the Better Care Fund pooled budget. The appendices set out the justification for the services in this report being commissioned within the terms of the Better Care Fund.</p>
<p>Equalities implications and comments: <i>(has an Equality Impact Assessment been completed? If not, why?)</i></p>	<p>Not applicable</p>
<p>Published documents referred to in the report: <i>e.g. legislation, statutory guidance, previous Sub Committee reports and minutes</i></p>	<p>Report to and minutes of Health and Wellbeing Board Commissioning Sub Committee meeting on 14 September 2016.</p>
<p>Background papers relied upon in writing the report: <i>Documents which disclose important facts or matters on which the decision has been based and have been relied on to a material extent in preparing the decision. This does not include any published works e.g. previous Board reports or any exempt documents.</i></p>	<p>None</p>

Other options considered and rejected:	To carry forward the BCF underspend for utilisation in 2017/18. This option has been rejected because the CCG are not able to carry forward underspend and this principle has been applied to the BCF.